



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE  
Group Art Unit -- 2188  
Examiner -- P. Vital

May 3, 2006

In re Application of Paul S. Neuman  
Title: Method for Improved First  
Level Cache Coherency  
Serial No.: 09/650,800

Filed: August 30, 2000  
Allowed: April 12, 2006  
File No.: RA 5290K (33012/289/101)  
Customer # 27516

Mail Stop Issue Fee  
Commissioner for Patents  
P O Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450


SUBJECT: ISSUE FEE FOR RA 5290K (33012/289/101)

Dear Sir:

Please charge Deposit Account No. 19-3790 in the sum of \$1,400.00 to cover payment of the Issue Fee and also the sum of \$3.00 to cover the cost of the 1 extra copy of the patent, which was allowed on April 12, 2006.


Also enclosed is a "Fee Address" Indication Form and Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance.

Respectfully submitted,

  
Charles A. Johnson  
Attorney for Applicant  
Unisys Corporation (MS 4773)  
P O Box 64942  
St. Paul, MN 55164-0942  
Reg. No.: 20,852  
Tel. No.: (651) 635-7702

CAJ/eav

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited in the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: MS Issue Fee, Commissioner for Patents, P O Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on May 3, 2006.

  
Charles A. Johnson  
Attorney for Applicant  
Signature  
May 3, 2006  
Date of Signature



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE  
Group Art Unit -- 2188  
Examiner -- P. Vital

May 3, 2006

In re Application of Paul S. Neuman  
Title: Method for Improved First Level Cache  
Coherency  
Serial No.: 09/650,800

Filed: 8/30/00  
Allowed: 4/12/06  
File No.: RA 5290K (33012/289/101)  
Customer # 27516

**COMMENTS ON STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE**


Mail Stop Issue Fee  
Commissioner for Patents  
P O Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

The Notice of Allowance did not provide any Examiner's statement of Reasons for Allowance. The elements claimed were not found in the cited prior art, and the cited prior art would not render the claimed invention invalid under 35 USC §102. With respect to 35 USC §103, the requirements of establishing a *prima facie* case of obviousness, including (1) a showing that the prior art teaches the entire claimed invention where all limitations are to be considered, and (2) that combining various prior art references is (a) suggested in the art and (b) there would be motivation to make the combination with a likelihood of success, have not been satisfied.

Unless otherwise advised, these comments are intended, to be clarifying in a manner consistent with the law.


Respectfully submitted,



Charles A. Johnson  
Attorney for Applicant  
Unisys Corporation (MS 4773)  
P O Box 64942  
St. Paul, MN 55164-0942  
Reg. No.: 20,852  
Tel. No.: (651) 635-7702

CAJ/eav

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited in the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: MS Issue Fee, Commissioner for Patents, P O Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on May 3, 2006.

Charles A. Johnson  
Attorney for Applicants  
  
Signature

May 3, 2006  
Date of Signature